

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/995,931	YU, PHILIP K.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Srirama Channavajjala	2166

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Srirama Channavajjala.

(3) _____.

(2) Philip K Yu, Reg. No. 35,742.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 March 2006

Time: 11 AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

19-25,27-30

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

A telephone was made to applicant's representative Attorney, Philip K Yu, Reg. No. 35,742 about the potential amendment to the claims, drawing fig 3-4, steps 303, 306; steps 403 and step 407; and specification [U.S. Publication: 2002/0065808 A1] paragraphs 0058.1 and 0058.2 as filed on 14 March 2006 in order to allow the case.

The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment.